

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

JAMES M. PORTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN DETECTION
SPECIALISTS, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 10-3448-CV-S-JCE

ORDER

Before the Court are four motions (docs. 4, 5, 6, and 16) which Plaintiff pro se James Porter has captioned as ex parte, including “Plaintiff’s Re-Stated Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Propound and Submit Preliminary Interrogatories to Defendant J. Michael Bridges” (doc. 16). An ex parte motion is heard without notice to, or argument from, the adverse party. The Court has reviewed these motions and finds they are not properly ex parte motions, and that they should not be captioned or docketed as ex parte motions.

Accordingly, the Court will docket and rule on them as if Plaintiff had not requested they be heard ex parte. Furthermore, absent specific instruction from the Court, the Clerk of the Court should not docket as ex parte any subsequent motion filed by Plaintiff that is merely captioned “ex parte.”

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: February 18, 2011

/s/ Greg Kays
GREG KAYS, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT